

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,123	02/05/2004	Densen Cao	5045.6 P	3257
7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Parsons Behle & Latimer			JACKSON JR, JEROME	
Suite 1800			Anminum	DAREN ARRANCE
201 South Mai	n Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 45898			2815	
Salt Lake City, UT 84111			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Es/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,123	CAO, DENSEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 August 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/9/04;3/14/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,123

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

New drawings were received on 8/9/2004. These drawings are considered new matter as they do not correspond to the original disclosure. Note that they are not similar to the original drawings.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 "a casing connected to said casing" is vague and indefinite. Other claims are rejected for dependence on claim 1. Claim 16 is vague and indefinite by itself.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,7,9-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Beggeman '722.

Beggeman shows in figures 1 and 3 a connection knob 2, a casing 5, a secondary heat sink 3, a light source 4 mounted on a primary heat sink 12, a heat sink grid 1 which is mounted on a proximal side of the secondary heat sink 3 and which enables air circulation, and a cover 13 over the leds 11. Accordingly, claim 1 is anticipated. Claim 3 is rejected as Beggeman teaches circuit board control of the leds (column 3 lines 12 and "MC-PCB"). Claim 4 is rejected as figure 3 shows wells with led chips and coating 13. Claim 7 is rejected as '722 describes a heat conducting adhesive (col.2 line 57). Claim 9 is rejected as the coating or cover 13 is a dome. Claim 10 is rejected as primary heat sink 12 is smaller than secondary heat sink 3. Claim 11 is rejected as heat sink grid 1 has air holes. Claims 12 and 14 are also rejected as above.

Claims 1-4,7,9-14,22,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begemann '722 in view of Shimizu '073.

It would have been obvious to have practiced Beggemann with leds and phosphors as in Shimizu in order to maximize the white light emission and purity.

Claims 2,13,22 and 23 are obvious structure.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begemann with Shimizu and further in view of Durocher '256.

From Durocher it would have been obvious to have practiced a primary well with subwells in a scheme as Begemann with Shimizu on order to form an led array in a light bulb type enclosure with improved flexibility and rigidity. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected.

Claim 8 is rejected as the led chips of '256 are connected to the primary heat sink by conductive adhesive material and bond pads or solder which are clearly light reflective for certain wavelengths of light. See paragraphs [0056-0057]. The broad claim language does not structurally distinguish over the applied art. Claims 15-21 are likewise rejected. In re claim 17 note that primary heat sinks and leds of Begemann are secured to the secondary heat sink by conductive adhesive (col.3 lines 59-62).

Lin '513 and Shimizu 772 are relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,123

Art Unit: 2815

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER